UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF VERMONT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

*

V

*

JED RUHL

* CRIMINAL FILE NO. 19-66

VIOLATION OF CONDITIONS OF RELEASE Monday, March 15, 2021 Burlington, Vermont

BEFORE:

THE HONORABLE WILLIAM K. SESSIONS III Senior District Judge

APPEARANCES:

JOSEPH R. PERELLA, ESQ., Assistant United States Attorney, Federal Building, Burlington, Vermont; Attorney for the United States

MICHELLE ANDERSON BARTH, ESQ., Law Office of Michelle Anderson Barth, P.O. Box 4240, Burlington, Vermont; Attorney for the Defendant

ANNE NICHOLS PIERCE
United States District Court Reporter (ret'd.)

fortherecordinvermont@gmail.com

MONDAY, MARCH 15, 2021 1 (The following was held in open court at 10:10 a.m.) 2 THE COURT: Good morning. 3 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Your Honor, this is 4 criminal number 19-66, defendant number one, United 5 6 States of America versus Jed Ruhl. The government is 7 present through Assistant United States Attorney Joseph 8 Perella. The defendant is present with his attorney, Michelle Anderson Barth. 9 The matter before the Court is a violation of 10 conditions of release. 11 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Ruhl, you reported 12 as required. 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: And is it going to be different? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Yep, absolutely. I will 16 make -- make drug court my first priority instead of an 17 afterthought. 18 THE COURT: And the UAs you have to make a 19 20 priority. THE DEFENDANT: I will. 21 THE COURT: You know, I thought quite a bit 22 about the hearing, and, you know, let me tell you just 23 my observation: My observation is that you are a very 24 smart person and very intelligent. And that in some 25

ways, when you make an argument to me, I am persuaded by, frankly, your eloquence and -- your eloquence and your insight, but there's a part of what you say in court to me and to others which really sort of is intended to speak to what you think the hearer wants to hear. And in some respects, you know, I interpret what you say is you figuring out this is what I want to hear, and then I thought that's something oftentimes judges recognize.

I'm sure defense lawyers never understood that judges look at that, but we do, and, you know, I just have a sense that sometimes you just say, Well, this is what -- this is what the judge is going to want to hear, this is what the probation officers may want to hear, et cetera. And you should know that my assessment is that sometimes you speak in those kinds of words: This is what the person wants to hear, and this is what I'm going to have to say to get to my next step.

And I think that's over, you know, frankly. You are really going to have to comply here with -- and, you know, what you have to say is not going to be as important as it was before. It's really going to require you to follow everything. And, I don't know, I was thinking, ordinarily what happens in your particular case is that you will get in trouble, you will let slide

your obligations, and then you will be disciplined, and then you will do well for a while, and then it begins to slide again. I don't know why that is, but it seems to be the case.

So I'm going to order that -- that probation uses a sweat patch occasionally, just intermittently, so you are not going to know when the sweat patch is going to be used, but it will be used. The conditions are as they have been in the past.

The interesting thing about your case is that everybody is rooting for you, right? Everybody really wants to get Jed to the finish line, right? Because they have seen progress that you have made.

You know, Michelle was very eloquent in your defense describing all the progress that you have made, and nobody wants to throw that away. But then on the other hand, you know, we cannot tolerate pretty consistent, flagrant violations of the rules. Right? You can't -- you just cannot ignore those, and so everyone seems to be extraordinarily frustrated, and perhaps you can put me at the top of that list, so much so this never happened before, but so much so that I think it would not be fair to you that I be the sentencing judge if you failed because I would be reacting viscerally to all the violations and not

sentencing you necessarily on what you did, and that's not fair to you. So anyway, that was -- that's why I said what I said.

Again, everyone wants to make you be successful. Seems like you are the only person who doesn't, and I just hopes that changes really.

All right. So are there any members of the team who would like to make -- comment upon my reflection?

MR. PERELLA: Your Honor, from the government: The government totally concurs with your comments. I only add, more specifically, the dishonesty I think is the -- one of the primary sources of frustration for the team.

Before your Honor took the bench, I spoke to Jed and Michelle about it a little bit. I was hoping he would address the issue of dishonesty with you a bit because, and we explained to him, the drug court team can't help him unless he is being honest with us. But thank you.

MS. BARTH: Jed is prepared to -- to say a few words.

THE COURT: Okay. All right?

THE DEFENDANT: I won't let that happen again.

There won't be any problems, but if there was, I would

be completely honest from the start. So I'm very

regretful, and I'm sorry for being dishonest. 1 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So we start 2 again, and next drug court is not this Thursday. It's 3 the following Thursday. It's by zoom. And, you know, 4 we will see you then. 5 So as of -- he does not get any credit for the 6 7 previous two weeks. He begins getting credit as of the 8 date of the last drug court. Theoretically, if you are successful from now until 9 court, you get credit for the full 13 days. 10 PROBATION OFFICER SHAWNA LAPPIERE: Your, 11 Honor, usually if there's a use, the person gets sent 12 back to the beginning of the phase. Is that your 13 intention? 14 15 THE COURT: What is the -- tell me how many days that is. 16 PROBATION OFFICER LAPIERRE: Well, it's almost 17 a whole phase. He was at the end of Phase III, and so 18 he had been having use in the middle of Phase III, so he 19 20 would be sent back to the beginning of Phase III. He had been at -- he had earned 77 days. 21 THE COURT: Yeah, I don't want to take him 22 back to Phase III, the beginning of Phase III. 23 PROBATION OFFICER LAPIERRE: Okay. 24 THE COURT: This was in January, this test. 25 Ι

1	mean, obviously if there were more positive tests, it
2	would be a totally different situation. I just think we
3	leave it at whatever he earned up to the last drug
4	court, not getting credit for the two weeks previous to
5	that, and then move on from there. I don't want to go
6	back at this point.
7	PROBATION OFFICER LAPIERRE: Okay. Thank you
8	for that clarification.
9	THE COURT: Okay? Anything else?
10	MS. BARTH: No, your Honor. Thank you.
11	THE COURT: All right. We'll hopefully not
12	see you in this kind of situation again.
13	THE DEFENDANT: All right.
14	THE COURT: Okay? Thanks.
15	(Court was in recess at 10:20 a.m.)
16	*** ***
17	
18	
19	CERTIFICATION
20	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
21	above-entitled matter.
22	and het lierce
23	April 12, 2022 Date Anne Nichols Pierce
24	